(9) whether the Government had been requested to transfer these officials before conducting an enquiry with a view that they might not use their official influence and authority against collecting the real facts of the case?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(1) Yes.

(2) Yes.

(3) Yes, but the enquiry into the allegation of extortion is not complete.

(4) Government regret that the reports cannot be placed on the Table.

(5) The Sub-Inspector was at Kunnamkulam when the enquiry was started, but later on he was placed under suspension. The Police Constable has also been since transferred.

(6) No fresh enquiry is considered necessary.

(7) Vide answer to (5).

(8) Sub-Inspector Mr. Hamid Khan and P. C. 638 Makkar. The former alone is implicated in the alleged extortion case.

(9) Yes.

372. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) how many petitions and complaints have been received by the District Magistrate, Police Commissioner and the Diwan against the Police officers stationed at Kunnamkulam and referred to in the above interpellations, either collectively or individually against them, since they were posted at Kunnamkulam;

(2) whether copies of all those petitions will be placed on the Table?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—

(1) By the District Magistrate 3
,, Police Commissioner 4
,, Diwan .. 2

(2) The papers are either under enquiry or relate to Judicial Proceedings, and they cannot, therefore, be placed on the Table.

373. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) that a petition dated 9th Kanni 1104 was received by the District Magistrate from Mr. Mandokkara Anthony, Kunnamkulam, complaining that his daughter was being kept by some of the Police officers at Kunnamkulam;

(2) who are the officers against whom this complaint was made?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(1) Yes.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly